Canyons Leadership and Administrator Support System PROVISIONAL ADMINISTRATORS



MULTI-TIERED SUPPORTS

Canyons School District's Board of Education recognizes and appreciates the efforts of Canyons District's educators in fulfilling the District mission that all students will graduate college- and career-ready and is committed to providing on-going support to promote educator professional growth

REMEDIATION PROCESS

Administrators entering or returning to Canyons School District are placed on provisional status for a period of three (3) years and, The Board authorizes the District Administration to CLASS purposes include ensuring every student receive as required by Utah State law, are evaluated annually using the Canyons Leadership and Administrator Support System (CLASS). CLASS consists of observations, administrator evidence, student growth, and stakeholder feedback. CLASS, for Provisional Administrators, has evelop and implement an evaluation process that is igh quality instruction; developing effective educational easonable; fair; valid; reliable in measuring administrator aders; supporting instructional leaders in developing and four ratings: Highly Effective, Effective, Emerging Effective, and Not Effective. All Canyons administrators are expected to be rated as Effective or Highly Effective; however, Emerging Effective is considered satisfactory for Provisional Administrators. Provisional ffectiveness; compliant with state statutes, state ading practices that improves school climate and student egulations, and Canyons School District policy while progress; ensuring the appropriate management of neasuring implementation of the Utah Educational eadership Standards and the Canyons MTSS Framework. resources; increasing the use of public practice applications and providing feedback to educational leaders about Administrators should be rated Effective or Highly Effective by the end of Year 3. (See CLASS Multi-Tiered Supports Process.) nstruction and leadership Provisional 1 Provisional 2 Provisional 3 Years 1, 2, and 3 Tiers 1 and 2 ☐ Self-Assessment and Goal Setting ☐ Self-Assessment and Goal Setting ☐ Self-Assessment and Goal Setting vcle 1 Tier 1 - Supports Examples CLASS Orientation hip Quality Rating 1 IF RATING IS: Emerging Effective, Effective or Highly Eff. CLASS Administrator Boot Camp THEN: Advanced to next CLASS Cycle CLASS Online Resources Observations & Interviews eadership Quality Rating (LQR) eadership Quality Rating (LQR) Administrator Mentor CLASS Trainings Ethical Conduct Checklist Ethical Conduct Checklist IF RATING IS: Not Effective LIT Groups □ Self-Assessment and Goal Setting □ Management Checklist Other Trainings: □ CSIP and LandTrust Meeting Facilitation Checklist* Observations & Interviews* CSIP and LANDTrust Plans^ Meeting Facilitation Checklist* Observations & Interviews* CSIP and LANDTrust Plans^ THEN: The following will take place: Tier 2 Supports Memo of Concern with Identified Assistance Leadership Meetings Professional Development (HYPE) Management Checklist[^] Management Checklist[^] Notified that Employment is in Question ■ PBIS - TFI/SET/EWS PBIS - TFI/SET/EWS PBIS - TFI/SET/EWS THEN: Proceed to Remediation Tier 2 - Supports Examples CLASS Online Resources Administrator Mentor LIT Groups ☐ Analyzing Data ☐ CTESS Training & Certification ☐ Lines of Evidence ☐ Lines of Evidence^ mediation: Repeat Cycle 1 adership Quality Rating 2 IF RATING IS: Emerging Effective, Effective or Highly Eff. Leadership Meetings Professional Development (HYPF) School Administrators School Performance Directors Human Resources Administrators THEN: Remediation Completed Advanced to next CLASS Cycle IF RATING IS: Not Effective THEN: The following will take place: Cycle 1 Notified that Employment is in Question eadership Quality Rating (LQR) Ethical Conduct Checklist* Meeting Facilitation Checklist* Observations & Interviews CSIP and LANDTrust Plans[^] Management Checklist PBIS - TFI/SET/EWS Lines of Evidence^ immative Overall Rating (SOR) mmative Overall Rating (SOR) mmative Overall Rating (SOR) Leadership Quality Rating (LQR) Student Growth Stakeholder Feedback Leadership Quality Rating (LQR) Student Growth Stakeholder Feedback Leadership Quality Rating (LQR) Student Growth Stakeholder Feedback

Black Text: Evaluator Responsibility Green Text: Evaluatee Responsibility

* Completed again if deficiencies were identified in previous cycle.

GROWTH CYCLE

 $^{\Lambda} Items\ identified\ as\ not\ meeting\ the\ Effective\ criteria\ on\ a\ standard\ are\ resubmitted\ as\ part\ of\ Remediation.$

Revised 2017.05.15